

REPORT TO: Audit and Governance Committee

MEETING DATE: 30 April 2013

BY: Chief Executive

SUBJECT: Adult Wellbeing Risk Register

1 PURPOSE

- 1.1 To present to the Audit and Governance Committee the Adult Wellbeing Risk Register (Appendix 1) for discussion, comment and approval.
- 1.2 The Adult Wellbeing Risk Register has been developed in keeping with the Council's Risk Management Strategy and is a live document which is reviewed and refreshed on a regular basis, led by the Adult Wellbeing Local Risk Working Group (LRWG).

2 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 It is recommended that the Audit and Governance Committee approves the Adult Wellbeing Risk Register.
- 2.2 In doing so, the Audit and Governance Committee is asked to:
 - note that the relevant risks have been identified following appropriate consultation with all risk contacts;
 - recognise that while this report has been compiled by the Risk Officer, the Risk Register has been compiled by the Adult Wellbeing LRWG and the Head of Adult Wellbeing has lead responsibility. The Executive Director (Services for People) will speak to it at the Committee;
 - note that the significance of each risk is appropriate to the current nature of the risk;
 - agree that the total profile of the Adult Wellbeing risk can be borne by the Council at this time in relation to the Council's appetite for risk; and,
 - recognise that, although the risks presented are those requiring close monitoring and scrutiny throughout 2013, many are in fact longer term risks for Adult Wellbeing and are likely to be a feature of the risk register over a number of years.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Council's Risk Management Strategy was established following Audit Scotland's 2008/09 review of the Council when it was recommended that: "The implementation of the risk management framework be expedited and target dates established".
- 3.2 Responsibility for Risk Management sits within the Corporate Policy and Improvement unit. The Emergency Planning and Risk Manager, supported by a Risk Officer, has implemented the current Risk Management Strategy and set up both an

overarching Corporate Risk Management Group (CRMG) together with LRWGs in service areas such as the Adult Wellbeing LRWG.

- 3.3 The LRWGs meet on a regular basis to discuss their Risk Register which is also included as a topic in team meetings. They also feed information to the CRMG; this Group is fundamental to the delivery of risk management throughout the Council and ensures that risk management remains high on the corporate agenda.
- 3.4 All LRWG's were revised following the Senior Management and Service restructure of 2012 resulting in 8 Risk Registers/Groups being reduced to 7.
- 3.5 A copy of the risk matrix used to calculate the level of risk is attached as Appendix 2 for information.

4 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 In approving the Adult Wellbeing Risk Register the Committee will be affirming the process of embedding risk management principles across the Council in support of the Risk Management Strategy.

5 EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

5.1 This report is not applicable to the well being of equalities groups and an Equalities Impact Assessment is not required.

6 **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

6.1 Financial – It is the consideration of the Adult Wellbeing Local Risk Working Group that recurring costs associated with the measures in place for each risk are proportionate to the level of risk.

The financial requirements to support the Adult Wellbeing Risk Register for 2013/14 should be met within the proposed budget allocations for 2013/14. Any unplanned and unbudgeted costs that arise in relation to any of the risks identified will be subject to review by the Board of Directors.

- 6.2 Personnel There are no immediate implications.
- 6.3 Other Effective implementation of this register will require the support and commitment of the Risk Owners identified within the register.

7 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 7.1 Appendix 1 Adult Wellbeing Risk Register
- 7.2 Appendix 2 Risk Matrix

AUTHOR'S NAME	Scott Kennedy
DESIGNATION	Risk Officer
CONTACT INFO	Ext.7900
DATE	17 April 2013

Adult Wellbeing Risk Register

		Assessment of Current Risk				Assessment of Residual Risk [With proposed control measures]							
Risk ID No.&	Risk Description					Timescale for	Single Outcome						
Status S/C/N (same, changed, new)	(Threat/Opportunity to achievement of business objective)	Risk Control Measures (currently in place)	Likelihood (Probability)	Impact (Severity)	Risk Rating	Planned Risk Control Measures	ES Likelihood Impact Residual (Probability) (Severity) Rating		Risk Owner	Completion / Review Frequency	Agreement Outcome Number Link	Evidence held of Regular Review	
			L	I	LxI		L	I	LxI				
ASC 1	A service user suffers harm or detriment and becomes subject to Adult Protection measures due to a lack of appropriate operational processes and resources.	Sound operational procedures and trained staff with good supervisory support. Monitoring systems. Adult protection arrangements are designed to protect the most vulnerable. Feedback from Care Inspectorate reports is followed up and recommendations implemented as required. Feedback from service users helps to identify problems with service delivery that might expose service users to risk.	4	5	20	Continuous monitoring and review. Review service delivery in line with integration agenda. The allocation of resources will be monitored and assessed and redirected to manage risk in a pro- active way.	3	5	15	Senior Manager Operations	March 2014	5	
ASC 2	Demographic pressure increases the cost of service delivery which cannot be dealt with due to budget constraints resulting in an impact on service delivery.	Best value purchasing of external services. Service transformation – targeting services available for the most vulnerable. Keeping CMT and Council appraised of the demographic pressures facing the Council.	4	4	16	Redesign services and introduce resource allocation system (RAS). Review of resource allocation and to ensure resources are allocated to those with greatest need.	3	3	9	Senior Manager Operations Senior Manager Resources	March 2014	4 5	
ASC 3	Lack of a skilled and experienced workforce results in an inability to provide high quality assessment and support.	Offer support to staff recruitment and training. Mandatory training compliance. Inclusion of Training needs analysis within Supervision and PRD.	3	4	12	Increase staff learning opportunities, sustain high levels of supervision. Audit of training provision to ensure compliance with minimum training requirements.	3	3	9	Senior Manager Strategy & Policy	March 2014	5	
ASC 4	New national targets on delayed discharge of "no delays over four weeks" with a further reduction to 2 weeks from 1 April 2014 will create additional pressures. These new targets will have wide ranging implications across the whole care system and put pressure on assessment staff, business systems and financial resources.	Increased surveillance of care homes to identify spare capacity. Pilot new initiatives to assess potential new models in the delivery of care. Close working with NHS to identify commence discharge planning at the earliest opportunity.	4	3	12	Closer working with care at home providers to consolidate runs and release additional capacity. Introduce tiered bed management across the sector. Investment in additional resources to support discharge from hospital.	4	2	8	Senior Manager Operations	December 2013		
ASC 5	The failure of a major Care Home or Domiciliary Care provider e.g. Southern Cross resulting in a loss of capacity and the risk of service users being put at risk as a result	Close monitoring with care providers helps to identify potential service failures. Close working with all providers to	3	4	12	Develop of contingency arrangements to deal with failure of a major care provider. Participation in national working	3	2	6	Senior Manager Strategy & Policy	September 2013		

Risk ID No.&	Risk Description		Assessment of Current Risk		t Risk		Assessment of Residual Risk [With proposed control measures]				Timescale for	Single Outcome Agreement	
Status S/C/N (same, changed, new)	(Threat/Opportunity to achievement of business objective)	Risk Control Measures (currently in place)	Likelihood (Probability)	Impact (Severity)	Risk Rating	Planned Risk Control Measures	Likelihood (Probability)	Impact (Severity)	Residual Risk Rating	Risk Owner	Completion / Review Frequency	Agreement Outcome Number Link	Evidence held of Regular Review
	of their service withdrawn at short notice.	gain advance information of any potential failure.	L	1	LxI	groups to maintain national market intelligence. Working with other Councils to allow information sharing mutual support and contingency planning. Continuing to work closely with	L	1	LxI	Senior Manager Resources Senior Manager Operations			
ASC 6	Lack of resources results in a failure to comply with new legislative timescales for Community Disposals. This would result in serious reputational damage to the Council.	Work volumes and waiting times are monitored and resources redirected as required.	3	3	9	providers to provide support with improvement planning.	3	3	9	Criminal Justice Manager	March 2014	5 7 8	
ASC 7	 Major elements of public sector reform are either taking place or being proposed including: Integration of Health and Social Care and creation of a new H&SC Partnership Welfare Reform and the replacement of Disability Living Allowance with Personal Independence Payment The replacement of Council Tax benefit with a cash limited locally administered scheme. These reforms create uncertainty, additional workload for senior staff, requirement to restructure services and create new accountability, governance and partnership arrangements. These reforms will have an impact on Adult Wellbeing clients, many of whom live on limited income and face uncertainty about their future levels on income. As service users see their incomes reduced this may impact on the level of income received by Adult Wellbeing through client 	Regular discussion with all staff and stakeholders. Effective consultation with all stakeholders will allow early identification of risks and identify mitigating actions.	3	3	9	Joint working across the whole Council will allow resources to be directed to the key vulnerabilities and allow a cross council approach to be taken to managing this risk Communication with staff to allow risk areas to be identified and reduce uncertainty. Communication with service users to advise the potential impact of welfare reform and the support available. Income levels will be monitored to detect any fall off in client contributions.	2	2	4	Head of Adult Wellbeing together with Adult Wellbeing Management Team.	September 2013	56	

Risk ID No.&	No.& Risk Description	Diale Control Massauro	Assessment of Current Risk				Assessment of Residual Risk [With proposed control measures]				Timescale for	Single Outcome Agreement	Friday to bald of
Status S/C/N (same, changed, new)	(Threat/Opportunity to achievement of business objective)	Risk Control Measures (currently in place)	Likelihood (Probability)	Impact (Severity)	Risk Rating	Planned Risk Control Measures	Likelihood (Probability)	Impact (Severity)	Residual Risk Rating	Risk Owner	Completion / Review Frequency	Agreement Outcome Number Link	Evidence held of Regular Review
		-	L	I	LxI	-	L	I	LxI				
	contributions, imposing additional pressures on the Adult Wellbeing budget.												
ASC 8	A reduced level of service is available as a result of a system failure causing a loss of access to Frameworki Social Work management information system.	Contingency arrangements are in place to provide a back up service if required. Contingency back arrangements are tested. In short term staff would deal with emergencies based on information available.	3	2	6		3	2	6	Manager Finance, Performance and Business Systems.	March 2014	5	
		Staff can relocate to other offices to deal with a local outage.											
ASC 9	Residential homes are forced to close because the standard of the buildings of the care homes and other services do not meet the necessary standards and are no longer fit for purpose.	Adequate ongoing maintenance. Strategic planning for new homes by the Asset Management Group. Regular building condition surveys to identify potential deterioration in	3	2	6	Feedback Care Inspectorate, service users and carers and staff.	2	2	4	Senior Manager Resources	September 2013	5 9	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	building quality.											
	Original date produced (Version 1)	1st March 2012											
	File Name	Adult Wellbeing Risk Register										Risk Score	Overall Rating
	Original Author(s)	S Kennedy										20-25	Very High
	Current Revision Author(s)	S Kennedy										10-19	High
	Version	Date	Author(s	5)	No	tes on Revisions						5-9	Medium
	Original	1st March 2012	2012 S Kennedy								1-4	Low	
	2	19 th November 2012	S Kenne	dy	y Updated following revision of Risk Strategy								
	3	30 th January 2013	S Kenne	dy	Re	visions made following Adult Wellbeing	g Management	Team meet	ting.				
	4	11th April 2013	S Kenne	dy	Up	dates received from Linda Young and	John Finn. Up	dates and o	ne risk rem	oved by Murray	Leys.		

Appendix 2 <u>East Lothian Council</u> <u>Risk Matrix</u>

Likelihood Description

Likelihood of Occurrence	Score	Description
Almost Certain	5	Will undoubtedly happen, possibly frequently >90% chance
Likely	4	Will probably happen, but not a persistent issue >70%
Possible	3	May happen occasionally 30-70%
Unlikely	2	Not expected to happen but is possible <30%
Remote	1	Very unlikely this will ever happen <10%

Impact Description

Impact of Occurrence	Score	Description												
		Impact on Service Objectives	Financial Impact	Impact on People	Impact on Time	Impact on Reputation	Impact on Property	Business Continuity						
						Highly damaging, severe loss of								
				Single or Multiple fatality within		public confidence, Scottish	Loss of building, rebuilding	Complete inability to provide						
		Unable to function, inability to fulfil	Severe financial loss	council control, fatal accident	Serious - in excess of 2 years to	Government or Audit Scotland	required, temporary	service/system, prolonged						
Catastrophic	5	obligations.	(>5% budget)	enquiry.	recover pre-event position.	involved.		downtime with no back-up in place.						
•			× × /	Number of extensive injuries			Significant part of building							
				(major permanent harm) to		Major adverse publicity	unusable for prolonged period of							
		Significant impact on service	Major financial loss	employees, service users or	Major - between 1 & 2 years to	(regional/national), major loss of	time, alternative accommodation	Significant impact on service						
Major	4	provision.	(3-5% budget)	public.	recover pre-event position.	confidence.	required.	provision or loss of service.						
				Serious injury requiring medical		Some adverse local publicity,								
				treatment to employee, service	Considerable - between 6 months	limited damage with legal								
		Service objectives partially	Significant financial loss	user or public (semi-permanent	and 1 year to recover pre-event	implications, elected members	Loss of use of building for medium	Security support and performance						
Moderate	3	achievable.	(2-3% budget)	harm up to 1yr), council liable.	position.	become involved.	period, no alternative in place.	of service/system borderline.						
				Lost time due to employee injury or										
				small compensation claim from		Some public embarrassment, no		Reasonable back-up						
			Moderate financial loss	service user or public (First aid	Some - between 2 and 6 months	damage to reputation or service	Marginal damage covered by	arrangements, minor downtime of						
Minor	2	Minor impact on service objectives.	(0.5-2% budget)	treatment required).	to recover.	users.	insurance.	service/system.						
						Minor impact to council reputation		No operational difficulties, back-up						
		Minimal impact, no service		Minor injury to employee, service	Minimal - Up to 2 months to	of no interest to the press	Minor disruption to building,	support in place and security level						
None	1	disruption.	Minimal loss (0.5% budget)	user or public.	recover.	(Internal).	alternative arrangements in place.	acceptable.						

Risk		Impact										
Likelihood	None (1)	Minor (2)	Moderate (3)	Major (4)	Catastrophic (5)							
Almost Certain (5)	5	10	15	20	25							
Likely (4)	4	8	12	16	20							
Possible (3)	3	6	9	12	15							
Unlikely (2)	2	4	6	8	10							
Remote (1)	1	2	3	4	5							

		Key		
Risk	Low	Medium	High	Very High