Last Lottilati Contact L	retalis. Frank Fallylleve, e-Illali. I	nangneve & castiotina	11.gov.uk, tel. 0102002	21001	
	KPO1(A) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A BUILDIN	IG WARRANT OR	AMENDMENT TO	WARRANT
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	Total number that utilised customer agreements	Total number of working days for total number of BWs and amendments issued	Average time per BW (Working Days)
	0 - £10,000	81	0	7123	87.94
	£10,001 - £50,000	63	0	4640	73.65
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	31	0	3412	110.06
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	5	0	785	157.00
	£1,000,001 and above	3	0	770	256.67
	0 - £10,000	11	1	1134	103.09
	£10,001 - £50,000	1	0	33	33.00
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	8	1	1299	162.38
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	4	0	148	37.00
	£1,000,001 and above	2	1	403	201.50
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	183	0	16730	91.42
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	26	3	3017	116.04
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	209	3	19747	94.48
Comments	Our resources are still being im redistribution and reduction in Council Support (Admin) also council Support (Admin)	capacity of available s	Surveyors to take nev	024) with their existi w workload.	ng workload
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	92	1	8257	89.75
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	64		4673	73.02
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	39	1	4711	120.79
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	9	0		
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	5	1	1173	
Value Dalius Sub total	1,500,001 and above	J		1170	204.00

	KPO1(B) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A FIRST F	EPORT (AND BUI	LDING WARRANT	OR AMENDMENT	ISSUED WITHOU	T A FIRST REPOR	T)			
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Number of first reports issued	No. of first reports issued within 15 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 15 days and within 20 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 20 days and within 35 days	No. of first reports issued in more than 35 days	% within 15 days		% more than 20 days and within 35 days	% more than 35 days	% check (should be nearly 100%)
	0 - £10,000	93	67	19	3	4	72.04%	20.43%	3.23%	4.30%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	63	40	16	6	1	63.49%	25.40%	9.52%	1.59%	100.00%
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	43	26	12	5	0	60.47%	27.91%	11.63%	0.00%	100.01%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	4	2	0	1	1	50.00%	0.00%	25.00%	25.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	10	2	2	4	2	20.00%	20.00%	40.00%	20.00%	100.00%
	0 - £10,000	9	6	2	1	0	66.67%	22.22%	11.11%	0.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	6	3	3	0	0	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	11	9	2	0	0	81.82%	18.18%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	6	3	1	2	0	50.00%	16.67%	33.33%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	1	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	213	137	49	19	8	64.32%	23.00%	8.92%	3.76%	100.00%
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	33					66.67%	24.24%		0.00%	
		•									
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	246	159	57	22	8	64.63%	23.17%	8.94%	3.25%	99.99%
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes  Provide main reasons why first report targets not met	Our resources are still being in Council Support (Admin) also of As above	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2024) with their exist	ng workload redistrib	oution and reduction i	n capacity of availabl	e Surveyors to take r	new workload.		
	1										
Value bands Sub total		102	73			4	71.57%			3.92%	
Value bands Sub total		69	43			1	62.32%	27.54%		1.45%	
	£50,001 - £250,000	54	35				64.81%			0.00%	
Value bands Sub total		10	5	1	3	1	50.00%	10.00%		10.00%	
Value bands Sub total	£1,000,001 and above	11	3	2	4	2	27.27%	18.18%	36.36%	18.18%	99.99%

	KPO1(C) - TIME TAKEN TO	ISSUE A BUILDII	NG WARRANT OR	AMENDMENT (FC	DLLOWING A FIRS	T OR SUBSEQUE	NT REPORT) FROI	M RECEIPT OF SA	TISFACTORY INF	ORMATION	
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Total number of BWs and amendments issued	No. of BWs and amendments issued within 6 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 6 days and within 10 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 10 days and within 15 days of receipt of satisfactory information	No. of BWs and amendments issued in more than 15 days of receipt of satisfactory information	% within 6 days	% more than 6 days and within 10 days	% more than 10 days and within 15 days	% more than 15 days	% check (should be nearly 100%)
	0 - £10,000	59	41	11	4	3	69.49%	18.64%	6.78%	5.08%	99.99%
	£10,001 - £50,000	63	45	14	3	1	71.43%	22.22%	4.76%	1.59%	100.00%
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	31	24	5	1	1	77.42%	16.13%	3.23%	3.23%	100.01%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	5	4	0	1	0	80.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	3	1	2	0	0	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	0 - £10,000	6	4	2	0	0	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	1	1	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	6	6	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	4	4	0	0	0	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	2	0	1	1	О	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	100.00%
Cub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	161	115	22		l =	71.43%	19.88%	5.59%	2 110/	100.019/
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	161				5				3.11%	
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	19	15	3	1	0	78.95%	15.79%	5.26%	0.00%	100.00%
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	180	130	35	10	5	72.22%	19.44%	5.56%	2.78%	100.00%
Commentary on main reasons why there are any significant changes	N/a										
Provide main reasons why targets not met	N/a										
Value bands Sub total	0 - £10,000	65	45	13	4	3	69.23%	20.00%	6.15%	4.62%	100.00%
Value bands Sub total	£10,001 - £50,000	64	46	14	3	1	71.88%	21.88%	4.69%	1.56%	100.01%
Value bands Sub total	£50,001 - £250,000	37	30	5	1	1	81.08%	13.51%	2.70%	2.70%	99.99%
	' ' <u>' '                             </u>										
Value bands Sub total	£250,001 - £1,000,000	9	8	0	1	0	88.89%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%	100.00%

	KPO2 - COMPLIANCE DUR	ING CONSTRUCT	ION					
	CATEGORY (by building type and value of work)	Number of CCNPs for "accepted" completion certificates	Number of CCNPs fully achieved (by relevant person and verifier)	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	Number of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"	% of CCNPs fully achieved for "accepted" (by relevant person and verifier) completion certificates	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Relevant Person"	% of CCNPs fully achieved by "Verifier"
	0 - £10,000	28	4	7	25	14.29%	25.00%	89.29%
	£10,001 - £50,000	50	3	4	49	6.00%	8.00%	98.009
DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	25	2	5	22	8.00%	20.00%	88.009
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	5	0	0	5	0.00%	0.00%	100.009
	£1,000,001 and above	206	1	8	199	0.49%	3.88%	96.609
	0 - £10,000	1	0	0	1	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£10,001 - £50,000	1	0	0	1	0.00%		100.00%
NON-DOMESTIC	£50,001 - £250,000	2	0	0	2	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%
	£250,001 - £1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	£1,000,001 and above	1	1	1	1	100.00%		100.009
	, ,							
Sub total	DOMESTIC - ALL	314	10	24	300	3.18%	7.64%	95.549
Sub total	NON-DOMESTIC - ALL	5	1	1	5	20.00%		100.00%
oub total			·	·				
ALL CATEGORIES	Total	319	11	25	305	3.45%	7.84%	95.61%
Main reasons why CCNPs were not full achieved	Simply down to the Applicants	not fulfilling their role	in the process despit	e being informed of t	heir obligations			
Verifier's view of the main reasons why								
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant	Simply down to the Applicants	not fulfilling their role	in the process despit	e being informed of t	heir obligations			
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully	Simply down to the Applicants  Operational issues and we are o					requires to go throu	gh the Council's HR p	processes
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical noncompliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)		continuing to look to	try and address these	with additional team	support, though this			
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical non-compliance identifed through reasonable	Operational issues and we are of the contract	continuing to look to	try and address these	with additional team	support, though this			
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of echnical noncompliance identifed through reasonable enquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Operational issues and we are of the control of the	continuing to look to or such a wide subjec either themselves or l	try and address these t for specifics, howeve by employing a suitab	with additional team er to try and provide a le person to ensure c	support, though this a reason could be sim ompliance	nply to say failure on	the Applicants behalf	to ensure they hav
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of echnical noncompliance identifed through reasonable nquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs	Operational issues and we are of the control of the	or such a wide subjectifier themselves or leading	try and address these t for specifics, howeve by employing a suitab	with additional team or to try and provide a le person to ensure o	support, though this a reason could be sim ompliance	nply to say failure on	the Applicants behalf	to ensure they have
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical noncompliance identifed chrough reasonable nquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total	Operational issues and we are of the control of the	or such a wide subject either themselves or l	try and address these t for specifics, howeve by employing a suitab	with additional team  er to try and provide a le person to ensure c	support, though this a reason could be simompliance  26 50	13.79% 5.88%	the Applicants behalf  24.14%  7.84%	89.669 98.049
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of echnical noncompliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total  Value bands Sub total	Operational issues and we are of the sufficient technical knowledge of	or such a wide subjectifier themselves or leading	try and address these t for specifics, howeve by employing a suitab	with additional team  er to try and provide a le person to ensure community  7 4 5	support, though this a reason could be simompliance  26 50 24	13.79% 5.88% 7.41%	24.14% 7.84% 18.52%	89.66° 98.04° 88.89°
CCNPs were not fully achieved by relevant person  Verifier's view of the main reasons why CCNPs were not fully achieved by verifier  Verifier's view of the main aspects of technical noncompliance identifed through reasonable inquiry (prioritised)  Other comments on CCNPs  Value bands Sub total  Value bands Sub total	Operational issues and we are of the control of the	or such a wide subject either themselves or l	try and address these t for specifics, howeve by employing a suitab	with additional team  er to try and provide a le person to ensure c	support, though this a reason could be simompliance  26 50	13.79% 5.88%	24.14% 7.84% 18.52% 0.00%	

